

VZCZCXRO5921
OO RUEHGH
DE RUEHIN #0270 0330732
ZNY SSSSS ZZH
O 020732Z FEB 07
FM AIT TAIPEI
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3987
INFO RUEHBJ/AMEMBASSY BEIJING PRIORITY 6326
RUEHRL/AMEMBASSY BERLIN PRIORITY 0165
RUEHBY/AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY 4449
RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY 0196
RUEHGP/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE PRIORITY 6804
RUEHTC/AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY 0314
RUEHKO/AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY 8468
RUEHGZ/AMCONSUL GUANGZHOU PRIORITY 9980
RUEHHK/AMCONSUL HONG KONG PRIORITY 7558
RUEHOK/AMCONSUL OSAKA KOBE PRIORITY 0418
RUEHGH/AMCONSUL SHANGHAI PRIORITY 0800
RHEHAAA/NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY
RUCPDO/DEPT OF COMMERCE WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY
RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC PRIORITY
RHMFISS/HQ BICE WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY

S E C R E T TAIPEI 000270

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

STATE FOR EAP/TC AND ISN/MTR

E.O. 12958: DECL: 02/02/2027

TAGS: [ETTC](#) [PARM](#) [KNNP](#) [NK](#) [TW](#) [SN](#)

SUBJECT: MTAG: TAIWAN EXCON UPDATE

REF: A. STATE 12361

[1](#)B. STATE 11222

[1](#)C. SINGAPORE 227

Classified By: AIT Econ Chief Daniel K. Moore, 1.4 B/C

[1](#)1. (S) Summary: AIT Econ delivered Ref A demarche and Ref B recommendations to Bureau of Foreign Trade (BOFT) export control task force head Wally Su (Shi-hwa) on January 31 and February 1. Su's preliminary investigation into Ref A revealed that Roundtop has not made any exports to either Iran or the UAE so far this year. Su provided additional documentation on the Ref B end-users which AIT has forwarded to EAP/TC and ISN/MTR. Action request para 5. End summary.

[1](#)2. (S) In response to Ref A demarche on an imminent shipment of machine tools from Taiwan to Iran via the UAE, BOFT immediately contacted the company manager at Roundtop to request that any such shipment be stopped. However, according to the manager, Roundtop has not made any export to either the UAE or Iran in 2007 and had no such exports pending or planned. BOFT said it would continue to investigate this case and monitor the company.

[1](#)3. (S) Su questioned the ref B recommendation that the two export license applications (WA061222105558002 and WA061226131151002) be return to applicants without action "because there is no evidence of the existence of the end-user." Su immediately confirmed with the exporters that the name and street address of the end-users as given on the application was correct. He wondered why Washington agencies would question their existence, and what evidence would be required to prove their existence. He thought the best solution might be to provide telephone and email contact information for the two companies so that Washington agencies could contact them directly.

[1](#)4. (S) On February 2, Su provided scanned copies of various documents and photos related to the two Iranian companies. These include the business license, inter-company correspondence, narrative business history, passport datapages of company officials, schematic drawings and photos

of factories, and fax, telephone, and email contact information. AIT has forwarded these documents via unclass email to EAP/TC and ISN/MTR.

15. (S) Action request: Please advise on whether the emailed documents are deemed sufficient proof that the Iranian end-users exist and the export licenses can be approved, or, alternatively, what evidence is required to prove the existence of these companies.

WANG